#### NATIONAL GRID<sup>1</sup>

#### Third Party Pole Loading Analysis Criteria for Sub-Transmission Poles

\*\*Please note this document is only an Attachment to the 'Transmission Pole Aerial Third Party Attachment Requirements' document. Be sure to review the entire document and associated attachments before commencing any work.

Analysis of National Grid (NGrid) Sub-Transmission (69 kV and below) structures for the addition of new communication cables and other 3<sup>rd</sup> party attachments shall be done using a NGrid approved finite element computer analysis program. The program must be capable of performing analyses on both guyed and unguyed wood pole structures. NGrid has approved the use of two software programs:

Ocalc	Osmose
	Utilities Services, Inc.
	980 Ellicott Street
	Buffalo, New York 14209
	Ph: (800) 877-POLE
	Fax: (716) 882-5139
PLS-Cadd	Power Line Systems, Inc.
	918 University Bay Drive
	Madison, WI 53705, U.S.A.
	Phone: (608) 238-2171
	Fax: (608) 238-9241

#### Criteria

The analysis shall be completed in accordance with the following codes and standards:

National Electrical Safety Code 2012 (or Latest Edition) ANSI O5.1 - 2008 Specifications and Dimensions for Wood Poles (or Latest Edition) National Grid (Niagara Mohawk) Overhead Electric Transmission Standards Overhead Construction Standards (Distribution Blue Book)

The loading criteria shall be as follows:

- o NESC 250B Heavy
- NESC 250C Extreme Wind
- NESC 250D Extreme Ice (use 1" for Upstate NY) w/Concurrent Wind

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation, d.b.a. National Grid.

Grade B Construction shall be used for all poles, including poles below 60'. In accordance with NESC Rule 243: Any additional conductor/cable attachments on Sub-Transmission poles must be analyzed under Grade B Construction.

Unless overruled by a NGrid Structural Engineer, pole strength reductions shall be applied as follows:

Age of existing	g poles:	
05 – 12 years:	0 - 0.5%	
13 – 30 years:	0.5% - 2.0%	Note: Interpolation is allowed.
31 – 80 years:	2.0% - 6.0%	

Any pole defects found during the structural inspection of the pole shall be evaluated and an appropriate additional reduction in moment capacity shall be applied. Pole defects can be, but not limited to: woodpecker holes, shell rot, insect damage, excessive checking, and external pockets or split pole top. Please identify all pole strength reductions used within an analysis in the report.

• If using PLS-Cadd: Age reduction factors should be applied within PLS-Cadd under the Structure Loads Criteria: 'Strength for Wood Poles' in order to apply unanimously across the entire pole. Any additional pole defects should be applied within PLS-Pole as Wood Pole Defects, placed at the appropriate locations on the pole.

Pole height, class, and age should be identified from the appropriate line drawing(s), field inspection(s), and/or accounting book(s) (black books). Contact G.Ryder for assistance if needed. The height and class of the pole(s) along with guy anchor locations are to be verified during the pre-construction survey. For the line drawings please reference associated index, drawing, and sheet numbers used within the report, or attach electronic or hard copies of the associated drawing(s) with the submittal.

Conductor/cable properties, including diameter, weight, and design tensions, are to be provided by the appropriate utility for new and existing attachments, if unavailable then manufacturer's data shall be used. In the case when an existing attachee cannot provide the info or if contact cannot be made (documentation of attempted contacts should be included in report), then a hypothesis may be used, but must be supported with reasonable assumptions. The report is to identify the source(s) used to obtain this information and also distinguish the structure numbers that each conductor type applies to when applicable.

Here are some alternative methods the may be used to hypothesize design types for different attachment types in the case that the design tension information cannot be obtained from either the utility or manufacturer:

- Sub-Transmission conductors:
  - o Design tensions provided on line drawings.
  - Standard design tensions. Exhibit 3 is a list of design tensions for a majority of the conductors used for Sub-Transmission. If necessary, contact G.Ryder for more information.
- Distribution conductors:

- Overhead Construction Standards (Distribution blue book) recommended design tensions, indicated by: \*, the Design Specification Constraint.
- Communication cables supported by a messenger:
  - Design tension based on messenger support cable properties; see Exhibit 5 for further details.
- Communication cables self supported (no messenger cable):
  - The design tension may be calculated using a program such as Sag10, based on field measurements of the attachment locations and mid-span sag, incorporating the time and temperature that the height measurements were taken.

\*\* These are general recommendations for each conductor type, each case should be looked at individually and good engineering practice used when applying hypothesized tensions. - NGrid recommends the use of a utility contractor to field measure conductor/cable properties and tensions when unknown. Notification must be made to the local NGrid Performance Supervisor from the area of the required measurements prior to conducting work.

- Reduced design tensions are to be taken into account for slack spans and service drops.
- Please identify in the report when slack spans are used in an analysis.
- The report is to state all approaches used to obtain the design tension information.

The easement(s)/right(s) must be reviewed to verify that the new conductor/cable can be added to the structure under the existing terms along with any additional equipment such as guy wires/anchors required for the Applicants attachment. The appropriate ROW personnel will need to be contacted for this information.

Existing 3<sup>rd</sup> party attachment owners must be identified and stated within the report. If necessary, please use T.Mitchell as a point of contact for this information; also in many cases Sub-Transmission poles with Under-built Distribution can be referenced in the Distribution GIS Smallworld system for existing Attachee and pole ownership information. Determining the owners of existing attachments is necessary to certify who to contact for conductor/cable properties and tensioning information. If the pole is identified as being a joint owned pole with a telephone company, please note of in the report, the joint owner should also be contacted and given the option to be involved in the Attachee application process (see main document for details).

Any changes to existing conditions such as rearrangement of existing attachments on a pole must be approved by the affected party prior to conducting the changes resulting from the pole loading analysis.

Please note: electric wires and communication wires (in the communication space) carried on the same poles must have minimum clearances of 40" at the pole and 30" anywhere in the span and as voltages increase, required clearances shall also increase. See NESC for any exceptions to this rule.

Any existing violations in the field, such as inadequate clearance between cables/conductors, should be identified within the report.

Exhibit 4 is a copy of National Grid's loading analysis field inspection survey sheet which may be used to ensure all necessary data is captured. For an electronic version (.xls) of this document - contact G.Ryder.

#### Procedure

All pole loading analysis calculations and reporting <u>shall be performed under the direction of a</u> <u>professional engineer</u>, licensed and registered by the state where such facility is located, all of which shall be subject to review and acceptance by NGRID Engineering. The analysis and report must have a stamp of approval by the overseeing professional engineer.

Three separate loading analyses will be conducted for each structure:

- <u>RUN 1:</u> The first will analyze solely NGrid attachments on the existing structure with zero strength reduction factors applied to the pole; each proceeding analysis should apply strength reduction factors as outlined in the previous section.
- <u>RUN 2:</u> The second run will analyze the existing structure with all existing loads/attachments to determine the capacity of the structure to accept additional loads.
- <u>RUN 3:</u> A third run will model the existing structure with the new communication loads applied. Similar structure configurations, with same loading conditions, may be modeled and analyzed using allowable span runs.

The following are the scenarios that can happen:

Runs 1 and 2 pass (stress < 100%)	Run 3 passes (stress < 100%	) <b>OK</b>
Runs 1 and 2 pass (stress < 100%)	Run 3 fails (stress > 100%)	Fix @ Customer expense
Run 1 or 2 fails (stress $> 100\%$ )		Fix @ NGrid expense

Once the above analyses have been approved by NGRID Engineering, the Design Contractor is to contact the Applicant to deliver the results for each structure analyzed (pass or fail) and for failures: state the stage of failure to identify the party responsible for replacement costs. At this stage, the Applicant must determine if they would like to proceed with the application and have a design completed of the make-ready work for the poles that fail OR the application may be canceled or placed on hold until further notice (rules within main document apply).

If proceeding with the process, the applicant's design contractor will not be the default designer to determine a design of the required make-ready work<sub>5</sub>. The NGRID Sub-Transmission supervisor will delegate the appropriate personnel to complete this work. When a fix is required, the design solution may be in the form of a new pole, rehabilitated pole, additional poles (mid-span), or new guy(s). The stress level required for a design fix involving the replacement or addition of any new poles must be 85% or less. If a design solution involves action such as relocating or adding additional guys then the required stress level must be less than 100%. NGrid Engineering must approve any proposed design solution(s).

Additional PLS Notes:

• When setting up line files:

- Order by: Sub-T conductor, Distribution Primary, Distribution Secondary, Existing 3<sup>rd</sup> Party Attachments, and then the Applicants conductor/cable.
- The conductor/cable colors should remain unique and consistent throughout all pole loading analyses on a single application.

#### **Reports**

All analyses files, photos, field survey reports, and other supporting documentation shall be sent to National Grid for review. In addition, a final report shall summarize the findings; the report may be modeled after the attached example (Exhibit 1).

Note: Appendices within the report detailing the complete results for each structure may be withheld from the report under the contingency that the electronic analyses files are provided in which the same information may be viewed.

Hardcopies shall be sent via mail to:

Gregory Ryder National Grid USA Building B-2 Sub-Transmission Design NY 300 Erie Boulevard West Syracuse, NY 13202

An email shall also be sent to the TAG Email Address at: <u>nmnytele@us.ngrid.com</u> to notify the Telecom Attachments Group that the Pole Loading Analysis and accompanying documentation has been delivered to the Sub-Transmission Design NY department.

#### Payment for National Grid Review

The National Grid TAG department will invoice the Third Party pole attachment Applicant for the prepayment of National Grid's services to review pole loading analysis reports. The entity performing the pole loading analysis on behalf of itself or the Third Party pole attachment Applicant shall coordinate with the Applicant to ensure that the prepayment is made (as noted on the invoice) in advance of National Grid's review.

### List of Exhibits

- Exhibit 1 Design Report Example
- Exhibit 2 Employee Contact Info
- Exhibit 3 Messenger supported Comm. Cable Tensioning
- ➢ Exhibit 4 − Field Data Survey Sheet
- Exhibit 5 Typical Sub-T Conductor Design Tensions

### Exhibit 1 – Sample Report

# **Ellicott Road Sub-Transmission Pole Loading Analysis**

# Structures 270 ½, 271, 272, 273, 274-281 On Sub-Transmission Line: Attica – N. Leroy #208

Communication Company: Company name Attn: Contact Name

#### Analysis by: Consultant Company Attn: Name

#### Address

and

#### **Engineer Name**

#### Address

Project Number – 159111 Date: September 2, 2008

Rev 6: 03/01/11

# Index

Index	Page 2
Information	Dogo 2 A
Summary	Dogo 4
Staking Diagram	Page 5
Appendix A (Str. 270.5 NGRID Loading)	Page
Appendix B (Str. 270.5 Existing)	Page
Appendix C (Str. 270.5 w/ CATV)	Page
Appendix D (Str. 271 NGRID Loading)	Page
Appendix E (Str. 271 Existing)	Page
Appendix F (Str. 271 w/ CATV)	Page
Appendix G (Str. 272 NGRID Loading)	Page
Appendix H (Str. 272 Existing)	Page
Appendix J (Str. 272 w/ CATV)	Page
Appendix K (Str. 273 NGRID Loading)	Page
Appendix L (Str. 273 Existing)	
Appendix M (Str. 273 w/ CATV)	Page
Appendix N (Str. 274-281 NGRID Loading)	Page
Appendix O (Str. 274-281 Existing)	Page
Appendix P (Str. 274-281 w/ CATV)	Page
,	

.

### **Information:**

Time Warner has requested to attach a CATV cable to sub-transmission poles #270.5-281 near and on Ellicott Road in the Town of Pavilion, New York. These poles are on a 34.5kV line called Attica – North Leroy #208. Poles #270.5 & #273-281 already are under-built with three-phase distribution (on cross-arm construction), telephone, and 1/0 secondary. Please note there is a railroad crossing between poles #279 & #280. Time Warner would like to attach 12" above existing telephone attachment. Line drawing D-60974-W, Sh.1 (Index: 8.1-A4-M5) was referenced for information on this portion of the line. All conductors/cables listed below are attached to every structure analyzed (Note: if particular conductor/cable is not attached to all poles analyzed – must distinguish which structures that it is attached to within the conductor properties below)

Time Warner Fiber Data: Conductor Diameter: .625"
Conductor/Cable Weight: .163 lbs/ft.
Suspension Strand Diameter: .25"
Suspension Strand Weight: .128 lbs/ft.
Conductor/Cable Design stringing tension: 3500#
- Fiber data provided by TW within the application.
Transmission Conductor: Conductor Diameter: .684" (336.4 KCM – "Merlin")
Conductor/Cable Weight: .365 lbs/ft.
Conductor/Cable Design stringing tension: 3000#
- Conductor property information contained on line drawing listed above.

Distribution Conductor:	Conductor Diameter: .665" (336.4 KCM AAC -
(Primary)	Conductor/Cable Weight: .135 lbs/ft. Conductor/Cable Design stringing tension: 2000#
Distribution Conductor: (Secondary) - Conductor type obtained fro Distribution Construction Standards (Dest	Conductor Diameter: .98" (Neritina Poly Triplex) Conductor/Cable Weight: .419 lbs/ft. Conductor/Cable Design stringing tension: 2000# om Distribution GIS. Stringing tension obtained from ign Spec Constraint *)

Telephone Conductor: Conductor Diameter: 1" Conductor/Cable Weight: .35 lbs/ft Conductor/Cable Design stringing tension: 400#@60°F

- Cable data calculated based on height measurements taken in field. See e-mails included with report showing attempts to obtain cable information from Frontier. Attached with email are calculations & assumptions.

\*\*Be sure to list properties for all conductors/cables on ANY of the affected poles. Colors should correlate with conductor colors used in every analysis.

### Loading Criteria:

- NESC Heavy Rule 250B per NESC Code latest edition
- Extreme Wind Rule 250C per NESC Code latest edition
- Extreme Ice Rule 250D per NESC Code latest edition (1" Ice used)

### Analysis:

- Appendix A Shows structure 270.5 solely with NGRID loadings applied. It is a 50' cl-3, D-1211A with distribution primary and secondary underbuilt. There is no line angle on the sub-t, but the line angle for everything else is 90 degrees. The distribution primary and secondary are in-line with the sub-t back span, but change direction on pole 270.5. There are 2 existing guys for distribution primary and secondary, all are oriented against the long span. Structure fails at 162.1%
- Appendix B Shows structure 270.5 as it exists in the field. The only additional existing attachment is 1 Verizon telephone cable. Structure fails at 173.3%
- Appendix C Shows structure 270.5 as it would exist in the field with the new CATV in same direction as the sub-t circuit. Structure fails at 180.1%.
- Appendix D Shows structure 271 solely with NGRID loadings applied. It is a 45' cl-3, D-1220A with distribution primary in line with sub-t front span. Structure 271, sub-t has an 8°30' line angle. Structure passes at 81%.
- Appendix D Shows structure 271 as it exists in the field. The only additional existing attachment is 1 Verizon telephone cable. Structure passes at 83%.
- Appendix E Shows structure 271 as it would exist in the field with the new CATV. Structure passes at 87 %.

### Summary:

Structure Number	NGRID loadings	Original Existing	Existing In Field w/ CATV
270.5	162.1% Pole fails	173.3% Pole fails	180.1%. Pole fails
271	81% Pole passes	83% Pole passes	87% Pole passes
272	54.6% Pole passes	61.4% Pole passes	63.5% Pole passes
273	208.34% Pole fails	214.16% Pole fails	236.05% Pole fails
274-281	186.6% Pole fails	197.1% Pole fails	208.3% Pole fails



### Exhibit 2 -Contact List

TORNE 200 Support States and The set of the

Third Party Attachments	thirdparty@nationalgrid.com
TAG	<u>nmnytele@us.ngrid.com</u>
discovery of housed of shoch	for an interest of the rest

	-
12.00 (12.00)	1

The second second second second

#### The second s

teren aler Barriera ber i den Spinskog i Statistischer Argittiker Stiften i Statistischer Statistischer Spin 1997 Für Umsterlig

den proteinsporten der sicht geförten der sichten der sichten der sichten sollten sollten sollten sollten sollt

(na) dina si Sing nganga

	All of Articles in
	all in the start
	And the second second second

#### (\* - indicates primary contact to use in each dept.)

**AERIAL PLANT** Pole Line Guying Cable - Sags and Tensions

It is attached to a pole carrying an isolated telephone cable (i.e., a length of aerial cable inserted in an open wire line) which is not effectively grounded.

Grounding is the preferred treatment for exposed guys, except for the following cases in which they must be insulated:

- Where exposed to trolley facilities. .
- Within 1/2 mile of a power station (see Practice 919-120-560).
- and a second Where electrolytic corrosion of anchors has occurred (unexposed guys, in this case, must be separated from the cable strand at the pole, and electrical connection through hardware must be avoided.)

A single anchor or ground rod ordinarily is not an adequate ground. Adequate grounding for telephone guys may be obtained through connection to any of the following: 12 Martin Martin

- 1949 B Vertical grounding conductor of power system multigrounded neutral (with permission of the power company).
- Suspension strand of grounded telephone cable.
- Common anchor rod with a power guy that is connected to the multigrounded neutral.

#### CABLE - SAGS AND TENSIONS

Suspension Stand

1.1

#### Real and the difference Practice 627-200-015

of the state of the state of the second s

Galvanized suspension strand is available in two types. Class A is for general use under normal field conditions. Class C is for use where severe corrosion problems exist, e.g., in industrial or coastal areas. · · · . Caller States and

The 6.6M strand is made of extra high-strength steel and is smaller, lighter, and less expensive than 6M strand. For guying, they are interchangeable. As suspension strands, however, they are limited to different span lengths, as shown on Pages 10-41 through 10-46. \*

The 2.2M strand should not be used to support aerial cable, except small cables in pole-to-building or building-to-building construction.

NOTE: \* Refer to BR 627-070-015 (Issue 2, 11/89). Refer to BR 918-117-090 (Issue 6, 12/89). Also refer to Section 11 of the Manual.

GALVANIZED STRAND					
Size	Weight (Lb/Ft)				
2.2M	2400	3/16	0.077		
6M	6000	5/16	0.225		
6.6M	6650	1/4	0.121		
10M	11500	3/8	0.270		
16M	18000	7/16	0.390		
25M	25000	1/2	0.510		

#### Stringing Tension for Strand

#### Practices 627-210-018, 919-565-400

The proper stringing tension is a compromise between high tension (which causes cable bowing and creeping) and low tension (which results in excessive sag and requires taller poles to obtain clearances). Recommended stringing tensions for supporting strand are shown in the following table.

10-34

	Span	Stringing Tension (Lb) at Temperature (°F)					
Strand Le	Length (Ft)	0°	20°	40°	60°	80°	100°
CM	Up to 250	1550	1400	1250	1100	900	825
PIAT	250—450 Over 450	1375	1275	1175	1100	1025	900
6.6M	Up to 250 250—450 Over 450	900 850 775	800 750 700	700 675 650	600 600 600	500 525 550	425 475 525
10M	Up to 400 Over 400	2675 2600	2475 2425	2275 2250	2100 2100	1900 1925	1725 1800
16M	Any	4425	4150	3875	3600	3325	3075
25M	Any	9125	8800	8400	8000	7625	7250

The proper stringing tension for self-supporting cable depends not only on temperature and span lengths, but also on cable weight. The tables for self-supporting cables are too voluminous to be included here. See Practice 627-700-011.

Cable Sags

#### Practice 627-210-018

Cable sags at 100°F are shown on the next five pages. They are based on the supporting strand being placed at the tensions given above.

To use the tables, locate the cable weight and span length. Where these lines intersect, the approximate sag is indicated. Example: A 0.4lb/ft cable weight for a 200-foot span shows approximately 2-1/2 feet sag.

Cable sags at various degrees Fahrenheit are shown on tables in Practice 627-210-018. Those tables are too voluminous to be giver here. \*

Sag data for self-supporting cable is too voluminous to be given here. Refer to Practice 627-700-011.

Cable weights are shown in Practices 626-101-005 and 626-101-010.

Refer to Note on Page 10–33.

*'*;

en 11 m.



٠.,

1224

· · ·

74.

1

\*

I

× . . .



.

1.-

9.

•



12.

. . . .

1.

ry'

....



10-38

. .



1.1

10-39



1

# Exhibit 4: LOADING ANALYSIS SURVEY SHEET

Region #:	Substation:				Date:				
Feeder #:			Performed By:				Phone:		
Pole Data:			Construction:	Class:					
Pole ID:	D: Species:				or Circumference				
Pole ID 2:	irection: Pole numbers Ascending or Descending?								
Survey Direction.	Pole	numbers As	scending of Des	scending?					
Conductors:			Attach Height	Horizontal	Ahead	Back	Ahead	Back	
Power	Qtv	Diameter	Above Grd (ft)	Offset (in)	Span	Span	Angle	Angle	Tension
10000		Dicition	10010 010 (11)	011001 1111	<u> </u>	opan	7 trigito	Ziligio	Tonoion
Communications:									
Desert			Attach Unight	Attack to	If Midanan	Distance from	n Dolo (ft)	Rook Cross	
Drops:	Otu	Diamotor	Allach Height	Allach to	Aboad Span,	Distance Iron	n Pole (II)	Angle	Longth
Power.	GUY	Diameter	Above Old (II)	FOIE T/IN	Alleau Opali		ack opan	Angle	Lengui
Communications:									
					011				
			Attach Height	Horizontal	Offset	0:			
Transformers:	Qty		Above Gra (ft)	Offset (In)	Angle	Size (Kva)			
	-								
	-		Attach Height	Horizontal	Offset	Weight	Height	Width	
Equipment:	Otv		Above Grd (ft)	Offset (in)	Angle	Each (lb)	Each (in)	Each (in)	
Equipment.	1 4.1						And the second		
	1								
			Attach Height	Pole to	Back Span				
Guys:	Qty		Above Grd (ft)	Anchor (ft)	Angle				
	-								
00100000									
COMMENTS:									
	-								
	-								
the second se	-	And the second s	the second se		and the second s		and the second se	and the second second	and the second sec

### Exhibit 5 – Sub-T Conductor Design Tensions

- "Merlin" 336.4 KCM 18/1 ACSR:
- "Tulip" 336.4 KCM 19 Str. AL:
- "Linnet" 336.4 KCM 26/7 ACSR:
- "Raven" 1/0 KCM 6/1 ACSR:
- "Quail" 2/0 KCM 6/1 ACSR:
- "Penguin" 4/0 KCM 6/1 ACSR:
- "Pelican" 477 KCM 18/1 ACSR:
- "1/0 CU" 1/0 Copper 7 Str. BSCU:
- "2/0 CU" 2/0 Copper 7 Str. BSCU:
- "4/0 CU" 4/0 Copper 7 Str. BSCU:
- 3000# @ NESC Heavy (250B)
  2000# @ NESC Heavy (250B)
  3000 5000# @ NESC Heavy (250B)
  (Depending on the span lengths)
  2000# @ NESC Heavy (250B)
  2000 3000# @ NESC Heavy (250B)
  (Based on 5130# ultimate)
  3000# @ NESC Heavy (250B)
  3000# @ NESC Heavy (250B)
  1500 5000# @ NESC Heavy (250B)

\*Copper conductors will tend to vary – field measurements may be beneficial if drawings do not indicate design tensions.